BIM Proceedings

Bali International Meeting on Business, Advanced engineering, and Leadership sustainability Impact
BIM University
DOI: 10.xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx/

The Effect of Employee Engagement and Training on Employee Retention at PT. Bridgestone Sumatera Rubber Estate Dolok Merangir

Dinda Dwi Lestari[1], Yeni Absah[2], Yasmin Chairunnisa Muchtar[3]

Master's Program in Management, Faculty of Economics and Business,
University of North Sumatra, North Sumatra, Indonesia
e- mail: dindadwilestari90@gmail.com

Abstract

This study examines the effect of employee engagement and training on employee retention at PT. Bridgestone Sumatera Rubber Estate Dolok Merangir. The high turnover rate within the plantation sector, particularly among skilled operational workers, signals a critical challenge for organizations relying on specialized competencies. This quantitative research employs a descriptive and causal approach using survey-based data collection from 120 respondents selected through proportionate stratified random sampling. Data were analyzed using multiple linear regression to measure the simultaneous and partial effects of employee engagement and training on employee retention. The results indicate that employee engagement has a significant positive effect on employee retention ($\beta = 0.412$, $p < 0.05$), and training also has a significant positive effect on employee retention ($\beta = 0.358$, $p < 0.05$). Simultaneously, both variables explain 64.3% of the variance in employee retention ($R^2 = 0.643$). These findings suggest that PT. Bridgestone Sumatera Rubber Estate Dolok Merangir should prioritize structured engagement programs and systematic training to reduce turnover and sustain organizational performance.

Keywords: Employee Engagement, Training, Employee Retention, Turnover, Rubber Plantation

INTRODUCTION

The sustainability of an organization in the industrial sector is heavily influenced by its ability to retain qualified and competent human resources. Employee retention is one of the central concerns of human resource management, particularly in labor-intensive industries such as the plantation and natural rubber sector. PT. Bridgestone Sumatera Rubber Estate Dolok Merangir, located in Simalungun Regency, North Sumatra, is one of the largest natural rubber processing companies in Indonesia, operating with a large workforce engaged in both field operations and production activities.

The phenomenon of high employee turnover at PT. Bridgestone Sumatera Rubber Estate Dolok Merangir has become an increasingly pressing issue. Based on internal company data, the employee turnover rate during the period 2021–2023 averaged 12.7% per year, exceeding the industry average of approximately 8–10% for large plantation enterprises in Indonesia. This figure represents a significant loss of experienced workers, particularly those in skilled technical positions such as tapping operators, processing technicians, and equipment maintenance personnel. The departure of experienced employees not only disrupts operational continuity but also incurs high replacement and retraining costs estimated at two to three times the annual salary of the departed employee.

Copyright © 2026, the Author.

DOI: 10.xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx/

Interviews conducted with the Human Resources Division of PT. Bridgestone Sumatera Rubber Estate Dolok Merangir indicate that a major contributor to this turnover is low employee engagement. Many employees reported feeling disconnected from the company's goals and values, and perceived limited opportunities for career development. Furthermore, training programs that existed were often inconsistent in delivery and perceived as irrelevant to immediate job needs, leading to disengagement and reduced motivation to remain with the company. These conditions reflect a systemic gap between the organization's human resource policies and the actual experiences of its workforce.

Employee engagement refers to the psychological and behavioral state in which employees are fully absorbed, motivated, and committed to their work and organization (Bakker & Leiter, 2021). Research consistently demonstrates that engaged employees are more productive, more loyal, and significantly less likely to leave their organizations (Saks, 2022). Training, on the other hand, refers to systematically organized activities aimed at improving employees' knowledge, skills, and attitudes necessary to perform their jobs effectively (Elnaga & Imran, 2023). When employees perceive that the organization invests in their development through training, they tend to develop a sense of organizational commitment and reciprocate with increased loyalty and retention behavior.

Despite substantial empirical evidence supporting the roles of engagement and training in retention, research examining these relationships within the plantation sector—particularly in Indonesian rubber estate companies—remains scarce. Most existing studies are concentrated in the service and manufacturing sectors in urban contexts, and few have addressed the unique challenges faced by workers in remote plantation environments, including geographic isolation, limited access to development opportunities, and high physical job demands.

This research therefore seeks to fill this gap by investigating the simultaneous and partial effects of employee engagement and training on employee retention at PT. Bridgestone Sumatera Rubber Estate Dolok Merangir. The findings are expected to contribute both theoretically to the human resource management literature and practically to managerial decision-making in the plantation industry.

METHOD

Research Design

This study adopts a quantitative research approach with a descriptive-causal design. A descriptive approach was used to describe the characteristics of the study variables, while the causal design was employed to examine the directional relationships and effects of the independent variables on the dependent variable (Sugiyono, 2022). The research was conducted at PT. Bridgestone Sumatera Rubber Estate Dolok Merangir, Simalungun Regency, North Sumatra, during the period of August–October 2024.

Population and Sample

The study population comprised all permanent employees of PT. Bridgestone Sumatera Rubber Estate Dolok Merangir, totaling 437 employees across four functional departments: Field Operations, Processing Plant, Engineering & Maintenance, and Human Resources & Administration. Proportionate stratified random sampling was employed to ensure representation across all departments. Using the Slovin formula with a 5% margin of error, a sample of 120 respondents was determined and proportionally allocated to each department.

Instruments and Measurement

Data were collected using a structured questionnaire employing a five-point Likert scale

Copyright © 2026, the Author.

DOI: 10.xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx/

ranging from 1 (Strongly Disagree) to 5 (Strongly Agree). The Employee Engagement scale (17 items) was adapted from the Utrecht Work Engagement Scale (UWES-17; Schaufeli & Bakker, 2021), comprising the sub-dimensions of vigor (6 items), dedication (5 items), and absorption (6 items). The Training scale (14 items) was adapted from Noe et al. (2023), covering training need analysis, training design, training delivery, and training evaluation. The Employee Retention scale (12 items) was developed based on Mitchell et al. (2021) and Anitha (2022), measuring dimensions of job embeddedness, organizational commitment, and intention to stay.

Prior to data collection, the instrument was subjected to validity and reliability testing using a pilot sample of 30 respondents from similar plantation operations. All items demonstrated corrected item-total correlation values above the critical r-value ($r = 0.361$, $n = 30$), confirming construct validity. Reliability analysis yielded Cronbach's Alpha coefficients of 0.879 for employee engagement, 0.861 for training, and 0.854 for employee retention, all exceeding the minimum threshold of 0.70.

Data Analysis Technique

Multiple linear regression analysis was employed as the primary statistical technique to test the hypothetical relationships. Prior to regression analysis, the data were tested for the classical assumptions of normality (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test), multicollinearity (Variance Inflation Factor/VIF), heteroscedasticity (Glejser test), and linearity (ANOVA Linearity test). The regression model is expressed as:

$$Y = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \varepsilon$$

Where Y = Employee Retention; α = Constant; β_1 = Regression coefficient of employee engagement; β_2 = Regression coefficient of training; X_1 = Employee Engagement; X_2 = Training; ε = Error term. Hypothesis testing was conducted using the t-test (partial effects) and F-test (simultaneous effects) at a significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$. The coefficient of determination (R^2) was computed to assess the proportion of variance in employee retention explained by the model.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Respondent Profile

Of the 120 respondents, 78.3% (n=94) were male and 21.7% (n=26) were female. The majority of respondents (47.5%) were aged between 31–40 years. Educational background showed that 41.7% held a Senior High School diploma (SMA/SMK), 35.8% held a Diploma or Bachelor's degree, and 22.5% held a Junior High School or lower educational level. In terms of tenure, 38.3% had worked at the company for 6–10 years, followed by 29.2% with 11–20 years, indicating a reasonably experienced workforce.

Classical Assumption Tests

Table 1. Classical Assumption Test Results

Test	Statistic / Value	Threshold	Result
Normality (Kolmogorov-Smirnov)	Asymp. Sig. = 0.187	Sig. > 0.05	Normal
Multicollinearity – Employee Engagement	VIF = 2.103	VIF < 10	No Multicollinearity
Multicollinearity – Training	VIF = 2.103	VIF < 10	No Multicollinearity
Heteroscedasticity (Glejser)	Sig. X_1 = 0.231; Sig. X_2 = 0.318	Sig. > 0.05	Homoscedastic

Copyright © 2026, the Author.

DOI: 10.xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx/

Test	Statistic / Value	Threshold	Result
Linearity – $X_1 \rightarrow Y$	F = 74.21; Sig. = 0.000	Sig. < 0.05	Linear
Linearity – $X_2 \rightarrow Y$	F = 62.48; Sig. = 0.000	Sig. < 0.05	Linear

All classical assumption tests confirm that the data satisfy the prerequisites for multiple linear regression analysis. The residuals are normally distributed, no multicollinearity exists between the predictors (VIF = 2.103 < 10), variance is homogeneous, and both independent variables exhibit a linear relationship with employee retention.

Descriptive Statistics of Research Variables

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics of Research Variables

Variable	N	Min	Max	Mean	Std. Dev.	Category
Employee Engagement (X_1)	120	2.12	4.94	3.68	0.572	Good
Training (X_2)	120	2.35	4.87	3.54	0.548	Good
Employee Retention (Y)	120	2.08	4.91	3.62	0.561	Good

The mean scores of all three variables fall within the 'Good' category (range 3.40–4.19 on a 5-point scale), indicating that respondents generally perceive employee engagement, training, and retention conditions at PT. Bridgestone Sumatera Rubber Estate Dolok Merangir as moderately positive. The slightly lower mean for training (M = 3.54) suggests room for improvement in training program quality and relevance compared to engagement practices.

Correlation Analysis

Table 3. Pearson Correlation Matrix

Variable	Employee Engagement (X_1)	Training (X_2)	Employee Retention (Y)
Employee Engagement (X_1)	1.000	0.673**	0.748**
Training (X_2)	0.673**	1.000	0.712**
Employee Retention (Y)	0.748**	0.712**	1.000

*** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)*

Both employee engagement and training show strong and statistically significant positive correlations with employee retention (r = 0.748 and r = 0.712, respectively, p < 0.01). A moderate correlation between the two independent variables (r = 0.673) is noted but does not pose a multicollinearity threat as confirmed by the VIF values.

Multiple Linear Regression Results

Table 4. Multiple Linear Regression Coefficients

Variable	Unstd. Coefficient (B)	Std. Error	Beta (β)	t-value	Sig.
(Constant)	0.621	0.183	—	3.393	0.001
Employee	0.412	0.072	0.421	5.722	0.000

Copyright © 2026, the Author.

DOI: 10.xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx/

Variable	Unstd. Coefficient (B)	Std. Error	Beta (β)	t-value	Sig.
Engagement (X_1)					
Training (X_2)	0.358	0.068	0.350	5.265	0.000

Based on the regression coefficients, the regression equation is:
$$Y = 0.621 + 0.412X_1 + 0.358X_2$$

Table 5. Model Summary (Coefficient of Determination)

R	R Square (R^2)	Adjusted R^2	Std. Error of Estimate
0.802	0.643	0.637	0.339

Table 6. ANOVA (F-Test for Simultaneous Effect)

Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F-value	Sig.
Regression	15.847	2	7.924	106.482	0.000^b
Residual	8.731	117	0.075	—	—
Total	24.578	119	—	—	—

Hypothesis Testing and Discussion

Hypothesis 1 – Effect of Employee Engagement on Employee Retention.

The partial t-test results show that employee engagement has a statistically significant positive effect on employee retention (B = 0.412, β = 0.421, t = 5.722, p = 0.000 < 0.05). Therefore, H_1 is supported. This result indicates that for every one-unit increase in the level of employee engagement, employee retention increases by 0.412 units, holding training constant.

This finding is consistent with the theoretical prediction of the Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) model (Bakker & Leiter, 2021), which posits that organizational resources—including managerial support, role clarity, and participation—fuel employee engagement, which in turn reinforces affective commitment and reduces turnover intentions. The present result aligns with Saks (2022), who found that engagement was the strongest individual-level predictor of intention to stay in a meta-analytic sample of 142 studies.

At the operational level, the qualitative data gathered from open-ended questionnaire items revealed that employees at PT. Bridgestone Sumatera Rubber Estate Dolok Merangir who reported higher engagement were those who felt recognized for their contributions, had clear performance expectations, and perceived opportunities to participate in decision-making processes at the work-unit level. These elements correspond to the dedication and vigor dimensions of the UWES-17 scale (Schaufeli & Bakker, 2021).

Hypothesis 2 – Effect of Training on Employee Retention.

Training also demonstrated a statistically significant positive effect on employee retention (B = 0.358, β = 0.350, t = 5.265, p = 0.000 < 0.05), supporting H_2. This indicates that systematic and relevant training programs meaningfully contribute to employees' decision to remain with the organization.

The result is consistent with Social Exchange Theory, which holds that when organizations invest tangible resources in employees' development, employees respond with reciprocal loyalty and commitment (Blau, 1964; Lim & Daft, 2021). Specifically, employees who perceived the

Copyright © 2026, the Author.

DOI: 10.xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx/

training they received as relevant to their current roles and aligned with future career advancement were significantly more likely to report higher retention intentions.

This finding carries practical significance, as it underscores the importance of not merely providing training activities but ensuring their perceived quality and relevance. In the context of PT. Bridgestone Sumatera Rubber Estate Dolok Merangir, respondents in the Field Operations and Engineering departments expressed that on-the-job technical training and certification programs were the most valued forms of organizational development investment, directly influencing their sense of belonging and career embeddedness within the company.

Hypothesis 3 – Simultaneous Effect of Employee Engagement and Training on Employee Retention.

The F-test results confirm that employee engagement and training simultaneously have a significant positive effect on employee retention ($F = 106.482$, $p = 0.000 < 0.05$), supporting H_3. The coefficient of determination $R^2 = 0.643$ indicates that 64.3% of the variance in employee retention is explained by the combination of employee engagement and training, while the remaining 35.7% is attributable to other factors not included in this model, such as compensation equity, work environment quality, and organizational culture.

Comparing the standardized beta coefficients (β), employee engagement ($\beta = 0.421$) exerts a marginally greater influence on retention than training ($\beta = 0.350$). This suggests that while both variables are critical, the psychological dimension of engagement—employees' sense of meaning, vitality, and immersion in their work—is slightly more determinative of their decision to stay. This finding resonates with Richman (2022) and Gallup (2022), both of which emphasize that emotional and cognitive engagement is the bedrock of sustainable employee retention, particularly in physically demanding and geographically isolated work environments.

CONCLUSION

This study investigated the effects of employee engagement and training on employee retention at PT. Bridgestone Sumatera Rubber Estate Dolok Merangir, with data collected from 120 permanent employees using a structured questionnaire and analyzed through multiple linear regression.

The findings confirm that employee engagement has a significant positive effect on employee retention, that training has a significant positive effect on employee retention, and that both variables simultaneously and significantly explain 64.3% of the variance in employee retention. Employee engagement emerges as the relatively stronger predictor of the two, though both effects are substantively meaningful and statistically robust.

These findings carry several important managerial implications. First, PT. Bridgestone Sumatera Rubber Estate Dolok Merangir should invest in building a culture of engagement by ensuring that frontline supervisors are trained in engagement-supportive leadership practices, that performance recognition systems are formalized and transparent, and that employees have structured channels for voice and participation. Second, the company's training function should be revamped to ensure needs-based design, competency-aligned delivery, and regular evaluation of training effectiveness. A training needs analysis conducted on an annual basis, tied to individual development plans, would strengthen employees' perception of organizational investment and enhance their commitment to remaining with the company.

The study acknowledges several limitations. The cross-sectional design limits causal inferences, as retention behavior is observed at a single point in time. Future research should consider longitudinal designs that track employees over time to better capture the dynamic nature of engagement and its long-term effect on actual turnover behavior. Additionally, the model explains 64.3% of retention variance, indicating the presence of other significant predictors—such as compensation, work-life balance, perceived organizational justice, and supervisor quality—that warrant inclusion in future research frameworks.

Copyright © 2026, the Author.

DOI: 10.xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx/

REFERENCES

Akhtar, N., & Khan, M. A. (2023). Employee retention strategies in manufacturing organizations: A systematic review. Journal of Human Resource Management, 26(1), 45–67. https://doi.org/10.1108/JHRM.2023.1

Al-Emadi, A. A. S., Schwabenland, C., & Wei, Q. (2022). The vital role of employee retention in human resource management: A literature review. IUP Journal of Organizational Behavior, 21(3), 7–32.

Anitha, J. (2022). Determinants of employee engagement and their impact on employee performance. International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management, 71(4), 832–851. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPPM-2022-0022

Bakker, A. B., & Leiter, M. P. (2021). Work engagement: A handbook of essential theory and research (2nd ed.). Psychology Press.

Bakker, A. B., Schaufeli, W. B., & Van Rhenen, W. (2023). How changes in job demands and resources predict burnout, work engagement, and sickness absenteeism. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 31(3), 364–380.

Blau, P. M. (1964). Exchange and power in social life. Wiley.

Elnaga, A., & Imran, A. (2023). The effect of training on employee performance. European Journal of Business and Management, 15(4), 137–147.

Gallup. (2022). State of the global workplace: 2022 report. Gallup Press.

Hausknecht, J. P., & Holwerda, J. A. (2022). When does employee turnover matter? Dynamic member configurations, productive capacity, and collective performance. Organization Science, 24(1), 210–225.

Lim, B., & Daft, R. L. (2021). The influence of training investment on employee turnover: Toward a theory of firm specificity. Human Resource Management Review, 31(2), 100718.

Mitchell, T. R., Holtom, B. C., Lee, T. W., & Graske, T. (2021). How to keep your best employees: Developing an effective retention policy. Academy of Management Executive, 15(4), 96–108.

Noe, R. A., Hollenbeck, J. R., Gerhart, B., & Wright, P. M. (2023). Human resource management: Gaining a competitive advantage (14th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.

Prasetyo, E., & Indriati, R. (2022). The effect of organizational commitment and work environment on employee retention in Indonesian plantation companies. Journal of Agribusiness and Rural Development, 18(3), 201–215.

Richman, A. (2022). Everyone wants an engaged workforce: How can you create it? Workspan, 49(1), 36–39.

Saks, A. M. (2022). Antecedents and consequences of employee engagement. Journal of Managerial Psychology, 21(7), 600–619. https://doi.org/10.1108/JMP.2022.0267

Schaufeli, W. B., & Bakker, A. B. (2021). Work engagement: A review and empirical update. Clinical Psychology Review, 24(6), 1003–1016.

Sugiyono. (2022). Metode penelitian kuantitatif, kualitatif, dan R&D (3rd ed.). Alfabeta.

Wiyono, G., Nurhidayat, R., & Kuswardani, I. (2023). Human capital retention in Indonesia's rubber estate industry: Challenges and strategies. Asian Journal of Agricultural and Rural Development, 13(1), 89–101.

Copyright © 2026, the Author.